

From: "Coffey, Scott" <CoffeySE@cdmsmith.com>  
To: "John Kern" (b) (6)  
younghs@cdmsmith.com  
CC: "Zhen, Davis" <Zhen.Davis@epa.gov>  
"Sheldrake, Sean" <sheldrake.sean@epa.gov>  
"Stuesse, Gregory L." <stuessegl@cdmsmith.com>  
"Vickstrom, Kyle E." <vickstromke@cdmsmith.com>  
Date: 5/10/2018 8:25:09 AM  
Subject: RE: I'm good with your comments on the protocol.

---

Thanks John.

Should we remove the two bowl requirement from our comment set?

As soon as we gain access to their sharepoint site, we will extract and provide you some field data sheets for your review so you can confirm they are appropriately documenting the sampling.

From: John Kern (b) (6)  
Sent: Thursday, May 10, 2018 8:21 AM  
To: Coffey, Scott <CoffeySE@cdmsmith.com>; Young, Howard S. <younghs@cdmsmith.com>  
Subject: I'm good with your comments on the protocol.

I have finally reviewed and I am good with your comments. Again in the final throws of an agreement, most important thing to get is agreement as to their providing exact and accurate documentation of what happened at each location.

Failure to fully document would be the biggest problem for us.

Sorry about the delay.

John

John W. Kern PhD  
Kern Statistical Services, Inc.  
(b) (6)  
Sauk Rapids, MN 56379  
T: 320-281-0676  
C: 509-432-6400